G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906.

(Miscellaneous)

File No. 44.

Serial Nos. 1—7

Papers Filed.

Month July 1907

SUBJECT.

Right of enjoyment of Thammagowdanahalli Village, Koratagere Sub Taluk by Gururaya Char and Venkata Madvachar.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

G.R.S. 11] 1908-09

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Miscl.)

NOTES.

File No 44-06

FILE HEADING.

Right of possession of the Inam village of Thammagondana halli Kortagere Sub Taluk, by Haveri Jayarama Char.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District

No. 824 Dated 21 6 09 Received 26 6 09

Diary No. 1834	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No. 7

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Reports that the petition of Ramaswami Achar of Holenarsipur stating that the inam village of Thammagondana halli should be continued to the Sri Uttaradi Math is pseudonymous and that though summons was issued, the petitioner's whereabouts could not be ascertained.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Thammagondana halli in the Kortagere Sub Taluk is an inam village endowed to the Sri Uttaradi Math. This village was directed to be resumed by Govt in April 1903, from Haveri Jayarama Char, ~~deceased~~ as enjoyer, on the ground that such enjoyment amounted to an alienation

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000-3-1-07.

Serial No.

Jayaramaphar having however produced documents to show that his family was placed in possession of the village for performing services to the Brindavana at Santebidnur which were incumbent on the matt to do, and therefore for valid consideration, the Govt in their Pro. No 4202-3 Mmz F 104-95 dated 27th March 1906, in supersession of their previous orders, directed the restoration of the village to Jayaramaphar. Subsequently, two others, Venkata Madhwaphar and Guruvaya Char claimed an equal right with Jayaramaphar in the enjoyment of the village, but they settled the matter among themselves by means of a compromise. The present petitioner Ramaswami Achar challenges Jayaramaphar's right. The Dy Commr of the Tumkur Dt to whom the above petition had been referred, reports that though summonses were issued to the petitioner, his whereabouts are not known. Jayaramaphar's statement however is submitted, wherein he alleges that Ramaswami Achar is some pseudonymous petitioner maligning his claim to the inam village. Jayaramaphar has also produced the documents relied on by him which were once before presented by him before Govt in 1906 and which petitioner Ramaswami Achar alleges to be forgeries

Subd with a draft

5/7/09

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50 000—18 5 08

3/7/1909

READ AGAIN—

Government Proceedings No. 2093-5, dated 23rd April 1902, directing that the Inam village of Thammagondanahalli in the Koratagere Sub-Taluk, endowed to the Sri Uttaradi Matt be resumed and taken over under the direct management of Government in the Muzrai Department.

READ—

Petition No. 3703, dated 26th February 1906, from Haveri Jayaramachar, the enjoyer of the said village, praying for a review of the Government Order read above.

No. 4202-3, DATED BANGALORE, 27TH MARCH 1906.

ORDER THEREON.—It having been ascertained from the records received from he Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District in November 1902, that the village of Thammagondanahalli in the Koratagere Sub-Taluk, belonging to the Sri Uttaradi Matt, was given away as a gift by Sri Satyakama Theertha Sripadangalavaru in the year Raktakshi (1864-65) to one Haveri Krishnachar, in exchange for a piece of land in the Gollahalli village in the Dodballapur Taluk, and half a vritti in the Chitnahalli village, Krishnarajpete Taluk, the Government observed in their Proceedings read above, that the alienation by gift of the valuable Devadaya Inam village by the Swamigalu for an inadequate consideration, was unwarranted, and they directed its resumption and management by Government in the Muzrai Department, the alienation having been ordered to be dealt with in accordance with clause II B, Rule IV of the Government of India Notification No. 266, dated 17th November 1877. But, from the documents now produced by Haveri Jayaramachar, who was in the enjoyment of the village, it appears that the village in question was confirmed by Dewan Purnaiya in favor of the Matt in the year 1801, admittedly for services at the Brindavana of the Sri Satya Vara Swamigalu at Santebidnur, although not so expressly stated; that this intention was carried out subsequently by a grant made in favor of one Haveri Swamirayachar in the year Raktakshi (101 years ago) by Sri Satya Dharma Swamigalavaru, who laid down the express condition of the grantee performing Abhisheka, Alankara Puja, etc., to the Sri Satya Vara Swami's Brindavana at Santebidnur; and that the grant was repeated and ratified by two of the successors of Sri Satya Dharma Swamigalu, *viz.*, by Sri Satya Sankalpa Swamigalu in the year Nandana (73 years ago) and by Sri Satya Kama Theertha Swamigalu in the year Raktakshi about 42 years ago. The tenure of the grant partakes more of a conditional trust for the execution of certain services which it was incumbent on the Matt to perform than an alienation pure and simple coming under the Notification of 1877. The stipulated services at the Brindavana at Santebidnur are stated to have been regularly performed by Haveri Swamirayachar and his descendants for the past 101 years, in accordance with the terms of the grant. The Government are therefore willing to accept the petitioner's argument that the village is being enjoyed by his family as a service Inam in trust for the Matt.

2. With reference to the observation made by Government in their Proceedings read above, that the village of Thammagondanahalli was given away by Sri Satya Kama Theertha Swamigalu in exchange for a piece of land in the Gollahalli village in the Dodballapur Taluk, and half a vritti in the Chitnahalli village in the Krishnarajpete Taluk. and that there was no comparison between the Inam village given and the lands received in exchange therefor for the Matt, the petitioner Jayaramachar has since produced an authenticated copy of a document executed by Sri Satya Kama Theertha Swamigalu in favor of one Venkata Madhwachar in the year Raktakshi (1864-65). From this document it is clear that the whole village of Thammagondanahalli was not given to Haveri Krishnachar in exchange for two pieces of land as observed in the above, but that only a piece of land valued at 46 Varahas in the Thammagondanahalli village, which had been reserved for the Matt, was given in exchange (1) for a piece of garden land at Bommanahalli in the Hole-Narsipur Taluk,

Muzrai, dated 27th March 1906.

(ii) for half a vritti in the Chitnahalli village in the Krishnarajpete Taluk and (iii) for a piece of land in the Gollahalli village in the Dodballapur Taluk, the valuation of the said 3 pieces of land being estimated at 46 Varahas and Rs. 200. The Government therefore consider that the exchange was for valid consideration.

3. In these circumstances the Government are pleased to direct, in supersession of the orders contained in the Proceedings read above, that the village of Thammagondanahalli be restored to the petitioner Haveri Jayaramachar, on condition of his performing as usual the stipulated services at the Sri Satya Vara Swami's Brindavana at Santebidnur, regular accounts being maintained therefor.

4. It is to be regretted that the documents now produced by the petitioner Jayaramachar were not before the Government when they passed their order of the 23rd April 1903, cited above.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Exd.—C. V

1905-06

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th March 1906

No. 4202-3
Muz. F. 10 A of 1895

Passing orders regarding the restoration of the Inam village of Thammagondanahalli in the Koratagere Sub-Taluk, to Haveri Jayaramachar, the enjoyer of the said village.

GPL—30-4-06—300

Petn for 10 years Pleader under and an notice office Note 4/12/06 11/12/06



Petn.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore

The humble petition of Venkata Madhwa char and Sreenivasa Raya char, residing in Santhe Bidanur, Hindupur Taluk, Anantha Pur District,

Most Respectfully sheweth,

1. That the Uttaradi Mutt at Hole Narsipur, of which the petitioners are disciples, owned the village of Thimmasamudra, Holavanahalli H/Vi, Kortagere Sub-Taluk, Tumkur District.

2. That the said village was made a gift of to the 1st petitioner herein, who is the father of the 2nd, in the year Durmukhi (1862-1863), as can be observed from the Agna Patra, issued by the Mutt to the Patel and Shanbhogue of the village, a copy of which has been herewith submitted; but that in the year Rakthakshi (1864-1865) — — the said village was given to Hanuma

which a share, as a provision for
family, and that the said Hanoo
has since his death, his sons Jaya
Ram & Khan & others were in the enjoy-
ment & possession of it, till the attach-
ment of the said village was ordered by
the Government of His Highness the Maharaja
Holkar in or about 1904, vide Sarkar
Resolution No 2093-5 Mug 710-
Hafiz, Indore, 22nd April 1903,
and No 6 / 11, dated Bombay the
1st / 12th, on account of the invalid
nature of the alienation by the Mata to
the aforesaid Hanoo Kirkee Khan
& Jaya - after the demise of the aforesaid
Hanoo & attachment, - Jaya Ramachandra
the son of the aforesaid Kirkee Khan,
and Lord, an Ishakee, or Kapura village
of Yeotmal Taluka, Berar Province, petitioned
the Government and duly represented that
the aforesaid village was given to one
Hanoo Ghasi Kirkee for performing
the Abhishekha Ablution Puja etc to the
Sri Vithoba Pandurang's Brindavan at
Jamb Bidkin "Brindavane Pant" and
that

that he was also performing the above-
said services, the attachment of the
said village might be ordered to be raised.

4. That the Government was pleased, after
a consideration of the aforesaid Jayarama
Char's petition and of some documents pro-
duced by him, to order in order No 42023
Dated Bangalore 27.3.06
that the aforesaid village may be enjoyed
as an emolument for the services rendered
in the Sri the Bidnur Brindavana Mutt,
and to direct the restoration of the village
to Jayaramachar, on condition of his per-
forming the services in the Brindavana Mutt.

5. That in connection with the aforesaid
order, the petitioner begs leave to submit
(a) that it is not true that the village
was given to Havari Swami Rayachar,
(b) that the documents relied on by
Jayaramachar are not genuine ones,
inasmuch as
(i) before the year Raktakshi (1864-1865)
neither the aforesaid Jayaramachar nor his
father and grandfather Havari Swami
Rayachar enjoyed the village in question,
(ii) the Mutt accounts amply prove
that the Mutt was enjoying the village, when
it is said it was given to Havari Swami
Rayachar,

(iii) &

deed executed in the year Keelaka (1848-1849) by Thoveri Krishnamachar in favour of the 1st petitioners herein, at the time of their declaration in living, this village is not mentioned,

(iv) as in the registered deed of partition between Jayarama Char, and his brothers, Venkata Madhavachar and dated 25th August 1894, this village has been described as the self-acquisition of Jaya Ramachar and his brothers, which statement is consistent with the fact that the village was given as a family provision to Thoveri Krishnachar,

(v) as the Agrapatra given by the Mutt to the Patel and Shyanbhogue of the village, herewith submitted, makes it clear that in the year Dundubhi (1862-1863) the petitioners herein were the enjoyers of the village as donees from the Mutt

and (vi) as the Dana Patra given to the 1st petitioners herein in the year Rakthakshi (1864-1865) by Sri Satyakama Swamiji, it is expressly mentioned that the village of Thummanadana halli was given to Thoveri Krishnachar and the entire Mallahalli village to the 1st petitioners herein;

(c) that prior to the passing of the Government orders above mentioned, the sons of Krishnachar were enjoying the village, only as donees from the Mutt and that it had nothing to do with their services to the

Sanke Bidnur Brindavana Mutt

and (d) that if the Government has been pleased to grant the said Shivanapoidonahalli village for services rendered in the Sanke Bidnur Mutt, the petitioners, because the 1st petitioner is the son of Swami Swamie Rayachar and because they are equally interested in the duty of attending the worship of the Sanke Bidnur Brindavana Mutt with Jaya Rama char and the other sons of Swami Krishna char, are equally entitled to enjoy the said Shivanapoidonahalli village and are thus entitled to one-half of it.

6. That the petitioners were unable to bring these particulars to the notice of the Government, as they were not informed of the proceedings which resulted in the passing of the aforesaid order; and that as they are attending to the worship of the Brindavana in Sanke Bidnur with Jaya Rama char and the other sons of Krishna char every alternate year and as their branch of the family has the power of management over the Mutt as can be seen from the Muzrai stipend order issued in 1876 in favour of the 1st petitioner herein, which has been subsequently

by the petitioners with their petition
4th December 1906 to the Government, it is
just and proper that they should also be
given one-half of the Thummapondanahalli
village, if it is an emolument for services
rendered in the Sathe Bidanur Brindavana
Mutt; and that the petitioners crave to most
respectfully pray

(a) that orders may be passed recognising
the petitioners' right to enjoy the Thummapondana
halli village equally with the sons of Havoni
krishna chari;

(b) that directions may be given either that
the petitioners on the one hand and the sons
of krishna chari on the other are to enjoy the
village with the 20 acres of wet land beneath
the Chickamalay Kerey in rotation of one
year each or that the said village with
the wet land may be divided into 2 por-
tions and the petitioners given one of such
portions;

and (c) that one-half of the Kandayam
amounts etc collected in the year Parabhava
may be paid to the petitioners?

[illegible]

4/12/06

श्रीमद्याचा
केन्द्रशासन
लायब्ररी

श्रीमद्दुंदुभिसंवत्सरे श्रावणशुद्ध १० ५

ओगरिगेवरसिद्धलनिदु आज्ञापत्र

कुमवनदुरुनमलुवरीवंद्वमध्याचा

रुछिइवरसवरी ५ ।

५१७ने पुरनाव्रे

रुछिंमंबोग्रामदुछिइवरसवरीदुगनेगदु

इठीरायंई १२ वरहदतोव्सहनेम्मगे

गंधादुरिंदुसंतीविन्नूरसमीपयळपो

दुनाळवंतारवरहदुभूमिइठेवन

रइवरवंनितोव्सहुमव्वदव्यासि

दुकुमहारद्वेळिइडिइारणनादु

दुंदुंबिनितोव्सहुमव्वतेगदुकों

बळुइवरिगेइंदुमगानदुछिइग्रा

इठीरायं-६ नाळवंतारवरदुकुम

दुश्रीदेवीगणेपूर्वद्वरिसनंनदु

वरसिद्धोदिदुवादहारणइवरिगे

री-६ नाळवंतारवरदुभूमीदिगेउ

तेडोदुमंतकुन्नूरिदुवरदुदुनव

गेदुनिंद्यइठे ५८०।

VERNM
RETARIAT

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read again:—

Government Proceedings No. 2093-5 dated 23rd April 1903, directing that the Inam Village of Thammagondanahalli in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk, endowed to the Sri Uttaradi matt be resumed and taken over under the direct management of Government in the Muzrai Department.

Read:—

Petition No. 3703, dated 26th February 1906, from Haveri Jayarama-Char, the enjoyer of the said Village, praying for a revision of the Government

Order read above.

No. 4202-3, Dated Bangalore, 27th March 1906

Order Thereon:— It having been ascertained from the records received from the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District in November 1902, that the Village of Thamma-gondanahalli in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk, belonging to the Sri Uttanadi Matt, was given away as a gift by Sri Satyakama Theertha Sripadangalavaru in the year Raktakshi (1864-65) to one Havery Krishnachar, in exchange for a piece of land in the Gollahalli Village in the Dodballapur Taluk, and half a Vritti in the Chikmahalli Village, Krishnarajpete Taluk, the Government observed in their Proceedings read above, that the

MYSORE GO
MUZRAIS

alienation by gift of the Valuable Devadaya Inam Village by the Swamigalu for an inadequate Consideration, was unWarranted, and they directed its resumption and management by Government in the Muzrai Department, the alienation having been ordered to be dealt with in accordance with Clause II B, Rule IV of the Government of India Notification No. 266, dated 14th November 1877. But, from the documents now produced by Havevi Jayaramachar, who was in the enjoyment of the Village, it appears that the Village in question was Confirmed by Dewan Purnaiya in favour of the Matt in the year 1801, admittedly for Services at the Brindavana of the Sri Satya Vara Swamigalu at-

Santebidnur, although not so express
stated: that this intention was carried
out subsequently by a grant made in
favour of one Haveri Swaminayachar
in the year Rakshakshi (101 years ago)
by Sri Satya Dharma Swamigal avaru,
who laid down the express condition
of the grantee performing Abhisheka,
Alankara Puja, etc, to the Sri Satya Vara
Swamy's Brindavana at Santebidnur;
and that the grant was repeated and
ratified by two of the successors of Sri
Satya Dharma Swamigalu, viz, by Sri
Satya Sankalpa Swamigalu in the year
Nandana (73 years ago) and by Sri Satya
Kama Theertha Swamigalu in the year
Rakshakshi about 42 years ago The tenure
of the grant partakes more of a Conditional
trust for the execution of certain services

Which it was incumbent on the Matt to perform than an alienation pure and simple. Coming under the Notification of 1897. The Stipulated Services at the Brindavana at Santebidnur are stated to have been regularly performed by Havery Swamiyachar and his descendants for the past 101 years, in accordance with the terms of the grant. The Government are therefore willing to accept the petitioners argument that the village is being enjoyed by his family as a Service Inam in trust for the Matt.

2. With reference to the observation made by Government in their Proceedings read above, that the village of Thammagondanahalli was given away by Sri Satya Rama Theertha Swamigala —

MYSORE
MUTTAI SECT

in exchange for a piece of land in the Gollahalli Village in the Doddaballapura Taluk, and half a Vritti in the Kshitmahalli Village in the Krishnarajapeté Taluk, and that there was no Comparison between the Inam Village given and the lands received in exchange therefor for the Math, the petitioner Jaya-Ramachar has since produced an authenticated Copy of a document executed by Sri Satya Kama Theertha Swamigalu in favour of one Venkata Madhwachar in the year Raktakshi (1864-65). From this document it is clear that the whole Village of Thammagondanahalli was not given to Haveri Krishnachar in exchange for two pieces of land as observed in the above, but that only a piece of land Valued at 46 Varahas in the Thammagondana-halli Village, which had been reserved for the Math, was given in exchange (1)

(1) for a piece of garden land at Bommanahalli in the Hole-Narsipur Taluk, (ii) for half a Vritti in the Chikmahalli Village in the Krishnarajpete Taluk and (iii) for a piece of land in the Gollahalli Village in the Doddballapur Taluk, the Valuation of the said 3 pieces of land being estimated at 46 Varahas and Rs 200. The Government therefore Consider that the exchange was for Valid Consideration.

3. In these Circumstances the Government are pleased to direct, in Supersession of the orders Contained in the Proceedings read above, That the Village of Thammagoudanahalli be restored to the petitioner.

Haveri Jayaramachar, on Condition of his performing as usual the Stipulated Services at the Sri Satya Vira Swamis Brindavana at Saulebidunur, regular accounts being maintained therefor.

4. It is to be regretted that the documents now produced by the petitioner Jayaramachar were not before the Government when they passed their Order of the 23rd April 1903, cited above.

Sd) L. Anantarami Rao,
Secy to Govt, Muzrai Dept.

To. The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

"Copy"

Manager
Muzrai Secretariat

Copy applied for by Jayaramachar on 11/8/06
Ready 14/8/06
Delivered 15/8/06
No of words 910
Copying fee 1-4-0
Comparing 0-3-4
1-7-4

MYSORE ... MUZRAI DEPT

Rpt. as per orders

190

From

To The Dy Commr
Tumkur Distt

Dated

Despatched 10.1.07

Received

General No. 3421
No.

Enclosure No. 3

Adverting to Govt Bro no. 4202-3 dated 27th March 06 directing the restoration of the Inam Village of Thamagondanahalli, in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk, to Haveri gayaramma bhar, has the honor to - forward herewith petition Regd. no 2799 dated 5th Decr 1906, from Gururaja bhar and Venkata Madhwa bhar - praying for a re-consideration of their right to enjoy ~~of the fore-going orders.~~ the village exempted with Haveri gayaramma bhar.

In the Dy. Commr is requested

to enquire into the matter and submit a detailed report thereon, after hearing both parties

By order,

Secretary

GOVERNMENT
MY
1921
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1907.08

From The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District

To The Secy to the Govt
of H.H. the Maharajah's
Kellyeore Mung. Dept

Dated 26th
Despatched 30th } October
Received } 1907
General No
No. 240

Enclosure No. Rand one,
file
Hisno, 3421 & 1 10th Janry 1907
Submitting a report
on the petition of
Ujjuraya achar and
Venkatachar on the
enjoyment of the Inam
village of Thamma
gondanahalli in the
Kortagere Hobli

Deputy

Tumkur

Dated, Tumkur, 26th October 1907.

From

K. Dora Swamy Iyer Esqr

Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District.

To

Venkata Krama Rao Esqr

Secretary to the Government of

H.H. the Maha Rajah of Mysore

Huzarai &c Depts.

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket

No 2421 dated the 10th January 1907

calling for a report on the

petition of Ujjuraya Chetty and

Venkata Mudliar Chetty, praying

for the recognition of their

right to enjoy the Inam Village

of Thammagondanahalli in

the Koratagere Sub Taluk &c

equally with Huveri Jayaraya

Chetty, I have the honor to state

that the petitioners who are father

and son and the Counter

petitioners are equally Canarese

Francisco Reyes Chan

Petitioner

Agustina Pech Chan
(Petitioner's wife)

Gilberto Reyes Chan

Leuchtturm Reyes Chan

Agustina Reyes Chan Lopez
(Petitioner's wife).

as shown in the
Survey in such that
with regard to the
assignment of the
Indian village in
question they have
come to an agree-
ment under which they
have agreed to assign
the Indian Village
are clearly set forth
their Exhibit
which appears in the
accompanying
record and is herewith submit

For order v.

The petition with its two
Quel'o enclosures received with the
docket under reference is also
herein enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most obedient Servant.

M. Sweetman Esq

Asst Deputy Commissioner.

MC

Dft for approval

Mugnai

Mag 44-06-[illegible]

SLH

Read, ...nt o

Govt Gen no 4202-3mt,

dated 29th march 1906 directing

the restoration of the Inam

Village of Thamanagondanahalli

in the Kunigal Sub-Taluk

to Honeri Jayarama Char

Petition registered-

no. 2799 dated 5th December

1906,

from Venkata Madhwa Char

and Cheluvaraya Char praying

for the recognition of their

right to enjoy the said

Inam Village equally with

Honeri Jayarama Char

Letter no 240 dated

26th October 1904 from

Deputy Commr, Tumkur

Dist, reporting that

the petitioners who are

father and son and

201/11

Counter-p are
cousins and that with d
to the enjoyment of the
Inam Village of Thamagondanahalli
in the Kortagere Sub Taluk
They have come to an
amicable understanding
among themselves and have
accordingly tendered a Razi-
nama to the Sub Divisional
Officer of Nagamangala -
enumerating the terms
under which the parties
have agreed to enjoy
the Inam Village. ~~and~~
~~submits the razinama for~~
~~favour of Orders~~

Order thereon no. 5484/07 dt. 23.11.1907

Recorded. ~~The Razinama~~
~~tendered is accepted.~~

~~2)~~ The Dy. Commr
is requested to expedite
the submission of the report
called for on the subject
in this office Docket no. 2152
dt. 31:10:07.

DD 19/11/07
Secretary.

Kind copy
of the Razinama
dtd 19/11/07

Copy dtd 25/11

To, The Dy. Commr
Tumkur
Dist. enclosed
the records

Muz. 1]

190

From

ient

ent,

To

The Deputy Commr

Tumkur District

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Referring to the office docket no 3421 dated 10th January 1904 requesting the submission of a report on the petition of Gurumaya cha and Venkata Madhwa char praying for the recognition of their right to enjoy the Inam village of Thamagondanahalli, has the honor to forward herewith petition registered no 1496 dated 29

C L L—3000—19 9 07

'October 1904. from Ramaswamy,

'Athar of Holenarsipur

'inam village should be continued to the matt;

'on the subject and to request

'that a consolidated report

comprising the points raised

in the present petition,

may be kindly submitted

to this office at an early

date.

By order,

Sd/- 31/10/04

Secretary

Encl: to the petn.

190 D1836

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District,
Tumkur.

alecg 44-06-

To The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Muzarai Department,
Bangalore

Dated 21st June 1909.

Despatched 24/7

Received

General No 844
No

Enclosure No One file.

Reporting on the petition of Ramaswami Achar of Holavanasipura re right of possession of the Inam village of Thammegondanahalli, Koratagere Sub-Taluk, by Jayaramachar.

Refer
CA
26/6/09
W

Office of the

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,

Dated, 21st June 1909.

24

From,

K.Doraswami Iyer Esqr:

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To,

C.S.Palasundaram Aiyar Esqr:B.A.

Offg: Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments, (Muzrai).

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No: 2152 dated 31st October 1907 forwarding for report the petition of Ramaswami Achar of Hole-Narasipur stating that the Inam village of Thammagondanahalli in the Koratagere Sub-division should be continued to the matt &c: I have the honour to state that a report on the right of Gurumyachar and Venkata-ramayachar to enjoy the said Inam village referred to in the docket under reply was submitted with this office letter No: 240 dated 26th October 1907. During a further enquiry regarding the allegations set forth in the petition of Ramaswami Achar, Jevaramachar the present enjoyer of the Inam village, states that the name Ramaswami Achar, is a pseudo mock name and that he has produced before Government documents presented for granting them sanad orders restoring the Inam village to him (vide Government Order No: 4202-3 dated 26th March 1906). On the other hand the Amildar of Hole-Narasipur, to whom the summons was sent for being served on Ramaswami Achar, reports in his No: 241 dated 9th January 1909, that the person named therein is not known to reside at the place.

2. The file of vernacular records received with the Maddigiri Sub-Divisional Officer's No: 827 dated 21st March 1909, is herewith for-

warded

rded for reference.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner.

compd

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 44-06
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to — — —

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 190

Date of issue ———— - - -

Signed by — —— - - - - - -

READ ~~again~~ :—

Govt P.O: No 4202-3
Mus F 104-95
dated 27th March 1906, directing the restoration of the inam village of Thammagondanahalli in the Kortagere Sub Taluk endowed to the Sri Uttaradi Matt to the possession and enjoyment of Havoie Jayaramachar.

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

Read :—

Petition Reg'd No. 1776, dated 27th October 1907, from Rao Swami Achar of Hole Narsipur, praying that the inam village may be continued in the possession of the Matt.

(over)

SUBJECT

GPB—6,000—13-5-08

Letter no 844, dated 21st June 1909,*
from the Dy Commr, Trunkur Dt,
reporting that although summons
was issued by the Amildar of Holé-
narsipur, the petitioner, Ramaswami Ichan,
is not known
to reside at the place, and that ~~he~~
~~xxxxxx~~ is stated by Jayaramaiah the present enjoyer
of the village, to be a pseudonymous ~~writer~~ writer.

Order thereon:- 68/mis 1 44-06-8 Encl file 298

The Dy Commr's communication 2 July 1909
is recorded

R/
3/7/09

for Secretary.

To

The Dy Commr, Trunkur Dt, ref enclosures.